

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,895	10/16/2003	Hirofumi Onishi	ALPINE.036AUS 7531	
75	90 06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
MURAMATSU & ASSOCIATES			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
114 Pacifica Suite 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 92	618		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/686,895	ONISHI H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronnie Mancho	3663			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WH - Ex aft - If N - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	oril 2006.				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispos	tion of Claims					
5)[6)[∑ 7)[Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applica	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confidence of the drawing sheet (s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[6	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachme	ent(s)					
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the applicant has added the new matter "if a particular point of interest is located within a large structure". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In independent claims 1, 7, and 13 contain added new matter that was not in the original disclosure. That is the claims have been amended to include the new matter "if a particular point of interest is located within a large structure, the list includes the icon representing the type of the large structure next to the name of the particular point of interest". The term, "if" is a conditional statement that requires the fulfillment of certain conditions.

There is no support or suggestion in the original disclosure for the term "next to". It appears to be new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,895 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 7, 13, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by "the type of the large structure"; "next to". It is not clear how large is large, etc. It is suggested that the applicant delete the phrase "a type of", "the type of" to clarify the scope of the claims. These terms are really not relevant in the claim limitations as they only help to confuse the scope of the claims. The term "next to" cannot be ascertained because "next" in the context of the claims does not disclose how close or separated the claimed items are supposed to be.

In claim 3, 4, etc, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by the phrase "a large structure".

The rest of the claims are rejected for depending on a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyaki (US 2002/0130906).

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 1, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B) discloses a display method for a navigation system, comprising the steps of:

receiving map data from a map data storage (14, fig. 1) and retrieves information on points of interest (POI 15, fig. 1) specified by a user;

examining whether the point of interest in the retrieved information is located within a large structure (polygon, 12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058);

retrieving an icon representing a type of the large structure (polygon, 12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) in which the point of interest is located; and

displaying for displaying a list of names of points of interest specified by the user (27, fig. 1);

wherein, if a point of interest is located within a large structure, the list includes the icon representing the type of large structure next to the name of the point of interest

Regarding claim 2, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display method for a navigation system as defined in claim 1, wherein said step of examining whether the point of interest is located within a large structure includes a step of checking point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data (polygon, 12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) in the map data representing an area of a land or a structure to see whether or not the location of the point of interest is included within the area of the land or structure.

Note! The dictionary meaning of "adjacent" does not mean connected to or directly beside. Reference is made to applicant's figs. 12A&B of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 3, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display method for a navigation system as defined in claim 1, wherein said step of examining whether the point of interest is located within a large structure includes a step of comparing point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data in the map data representing an area of a land or a structure, and a step of determining whether or not the location of the point of interest is within a boundary of the large structure defined by the polygon data.

Regarding claim 4, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display method for a navigation system as defined in claim 1, further comprising the step of: displaying detailed information on the large structure when the user specifies the icon representing the type of large structure.

Regarding claim 5, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display method for a navigation system as defined in claim 4, wherein said detailed information on the large structure displayed on the navigation system includes a name and an address of the large structure.

Regarding claim 6, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display method for a navigation system as defined in claim 4, wherein said step of displaying the detailed information on the large structure includes a step of producing a pop-up screen showing the detailed information on the monitor screen.

Regarding claim 7, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses a display apparatus for a navigation system, comprising:

means for selecting a method for searching point of interest information;

Art Unit: 3663

a map data storage 14 which stores map data including point of interest information and large structure information;

a point of interest display control unit (26, 27) which examines the map data from the map data storage and determines whether a point of interest is located within a large structure;

a memory 15 which stores icons where each icon represents a type of large structure expressed by the large structure information in the map data; and a monitor which displays information associated with the navigation system including a list of points of interest,

wherein said point of interest display control unit controls said monitor to display a list of names of points of interest specified by the user (27, fig. 1), and if a point of interest is located within a large structure, the list includes the icon representing the type of large structure next to the name of the point of interest, thereby enabling the user to see whether or not a particular point of interest is located within a large structure (figs. 12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058).

Regarding claim 8, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 7, wherein said point of interest display control unit checks point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data in the map data representing an area of a land or a structure to see whether or not the location of the point of interest is included within the area of the land or structure.

Regarding claim 9, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 7, wherein said point of interest display control unit compares point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data in the map data representing an area of a land

Art Unit: 3663

or a structure, and determines whether or not the location of the point of interest is within a boundary of the large structure defined by the polygon data.

Regarding claim 10, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 7, wherein said point of interest display control unit causes said monitor to display detailed information on the large structure when the user specifies the icon representing the type of large structure.

Regarding claim 11, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 10, wherein said detailed information on the large structure displayed on the navigation system includes a name and an address of the large structure.

Regarding claim 12, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 10, wherein said point of interest display control unit causes said monitor to display a pop-up screen showing the detailed information on said large structure.

Regarding claim 13, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system, comprising:

means for receiving map data from a map data storage and retrieving information on points of interest specified by a user;

means for examining whether or not the point of interest in the retrieved information is located within a large structure;

means for retrieving an icon representing a type of the large structure in which the point of interest is located; and

Art Unit: 3663

means for displaying a list of names of points of interest specified by the user (27, fig. 1); wherein, if a point of interest is located within a large structure, the list includes the icon representing the type of large structure adjacent to the name of the point of interest.

Regarding claim 14, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 13, wherein said means for examining whether the point of interest is located within a large structure includes means for checking point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data in the map data representing an area of a land or a structure to see whether or not the location of the point of interest is included within the area of the land or structure.

Regarding claim 15, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 13, wherein said means for examining whether the point of interest is located within a large structure includes a step of comparing point coordinate data in the map data representing a location of the point of interest and polygon data in the map data representing an area of a land or a structure, and means for determining whether or not the location of the point of interest is within a boundary of the large structure defined by the polygon data.

Regarding claim 16, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 13, further comprising means for displaying detailed information on the large structure when the user specifies the icon representing the type of large structure.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 17, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 16, wherein said detailed information on the large structure displayed on the navigation system includes a name and an address of the large structure.

Regarding claim 18, Miyaki (abstract, figs. 8-12A&B; figs. 11, sections 0055-0058) discloses the display apparatus for a navigation system as defined in claim 16, wherein said means for displaying the detailed information on the large structure includes means for producing a pop-up screen showing the detailed information on the monitor screen.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant's argues that the new matter cited above is disclosed in the specification.

The examiner notes that the term "when a particular POI is located within the large structure" is disclosed, but it is not disclosed in context or conjunction with the other statement as it is written in the statement "if a particular point of interest is located within a large structure, the list includes the icon representing the type of the large structure next to the name of the particular point of interest". There is no "list" to satisfy the condition required by term "if" disclosed in the original disclosure.

It is true that "adjacent" and "next" are similar terms with respect to applicant's arguments thereof, but the terms are indefinite as recited in the claims. That is how next is next or how adjacent is adjacent?

Art Unit: 3663

The applicant further argues that in the present invention, "Icons of points of interest <u>are</u> <u>not</u> displayed on the navigation system, but only the icon of the large structure is displayed <u>ONLY if</u> a point of interest is located within the large structure". These limitations are not part of the original disclosure. In addition as already mentioned above the terms, "next", "large" are indefinite. In the prior art, figs. 8-12 show a list of icons representing large structures, wherein a large structure is next to the name of a POI.

It is believed that the rejections are proper and thus stand.

Communication

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571/272/6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronnie Mancho

Art Unit: 3663

Examiner Art Unit 3663

6/26/06

Do

Marko